

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,291	02/07/2002	Frank H. Copen	1796-AB-CIP	2654
75	90 03/14/2003			
Fred H. Zollinger, III SAND & SEBOLT Aegis Tower 4940 Munson Street N.W., Suite 1100 Canton, OH 44718-3615			EXAMINER	
			RODRIGUEZ, RUTH C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			Applicatit(s)			
		10/072,291				
		Examiner	Art Unit			
-	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Ruth C. Rodriguez	3677			
Period fo	or Reply	rears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 F	ebruary 2002 .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 August 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)□ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.				
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicat	ion No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4 a</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
I.S. Patent and Tra	demark Office					

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) is acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed15 March 2002 and 12 November 2002 have been considered for this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gustavasson et al. (US 4,483,049).

A theft deterrent device comprises a first member (1), a second member (2), a hinge (3) and a lock (8,11). The hinge connects the first member and the second member and allowing the members to move from an unlocked position to a locked position (Fig. 2). The lock is adapted to lock the first member to the second member in the locked position (Fig. 2). The members define at least first and second openings (6)

Art Unit: 3677

adapted to receive an item of merchandise (4) such that the device is connected to the item of merchandise (Fig. 2).

The first member has a jaw portion (18). The second member has a jaw portion (18). The jaw portions are adapted to be closed on the item of merchandise and the aligned openings are defined by at least one of the jaw portions (Fig. 2).

The first and second openings are aligned and coaxial (Fig. 2).

The first and second openings are perpendicular to each other (Fig. 2).

The first and second openings are offset (Fig. 2).

A theft deterrent device comprises a first member (1), a second member (2), a hinge (3) and a lock (8,10). The hinge connects the first member and the second member and allowing the members to move from an unlocked position to a locked position (Fig. 2). The lock is adapted to lock the first member to the second member in the locked position (Fig. 2). The second member defines a slot adapted to receive a portion of the item of merchandise disposed in the slot of the second member when the first member is in the locked position (Fig. 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3677

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gustavasson et al.

Gustavosson discloses a theft deterrent device having all the limitations listed above. Gustavosson fails to disclose that the lock includes four locking fingers.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to have four locking fingers included as part of the lock instead of the two locking fingers disclosed by Gustavosson because duplicating the components of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 10 is allowed.
- 8. Claims 7 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sampson (US 2,625,725), Heaton et al. (US 4,649,397) and Vaux (US 4,827,577) are cited to show state of the art with respect to devices having some of the limitation of the current application.

Art Unit: 3677

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth C Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703) 308-1881. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 07:15 - 15:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on (703) 306-4115.

Submissions of your responses by facsimile transmission are encouraged. Technology center 3600's facsimile number for before final communications is (703) 872-9326. Technology center 3600's facsimile number for after final communications is (703) 872-9327. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase the patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as PTO's mailroom processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence **not** permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP § 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee that the applicant is paying by check **should not be** submitted by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP § 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

Art Unit: 3677

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to

the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) 872-9326) on ___(Date)_.

(Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate)

(Signature)

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded

that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP

§ 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required

by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up

copy of the response has been transmitted by facsimile will cause further unnecessary

delays in the processing of your application, duplicate responses where fees are

charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1113.

Ruth C. Rodriguez Patent Examiner Art Unit 3677 Page 6

アムア rcr

March 10, 2003

ROBERT J. SANDY

PRIMARY EXAMINER